Figure 1
STP PROCESS*
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Recirculation of comment resolution and any substantive changes to STP for opportunity to change vote. Public review of changes**. Notice of right to appeal

No
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Yes
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No

*This a representation of the steps of the process; the actual detailed steps are specified in UL’s accredited Regulations

**Public Review through ANSI Standards Action only for ANSI Standards